

trailers 25 feet and over, and Do-it Yourself (DITY) moves.

54. What is the drive behind combining many of the transportation services into one contract?

A. The principal drive behind combining the transportation services is a quality of life issue. We want to allow a member to go to just one carrier for a move as opposed to multiple carriers as often happens under the present system. We would like one stop shopping and simplicity. We believe, it would also relieve some of the administrative burden.

55. Will MTMC go down to the agent level to get their input on the re-engineering program?

A. MTMC is accepting input from all sources. MTMC already has gone down to the agent level and will continue to do so to receive input. MTMC encourages and wants input from all parties involved in the personal property process throughout the re-engineering. This is the only way we can build an effective program.

56. Do you intend on having a pilot program? If so, then when and where?

A. It is MTMC's intent to award a pilot program contract late in calendar year 1996. We have not decided on a geographic location at this time.

57. Will there exist a provision to adjust the rate for economic changes that may occur?

A. We are considering incorporating an economic price adjustment clause within the contract that would allow for rate adjustments after the first year, based on increased carrier costs. This would involve upward or downward revisions of the contract price based on the cost of labor or material.

58. Has there been consideration given to having the services work with the transportation industry to attempt to eliminate some of the peak season and even out the volume throughout the entire year?

A. MTMC has talked to the services but realistically we are not overly optimistic that anything can be done to even out the volume throughout the entire year. Just like the commercial world, a move is a quality of life issue and most people with families prefer to move in the summer.

59. If there exists a mistake in the entire process what is the Government's ability to back out of the contract?

A. The Government would have the right to terminate for convenience or default.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-25882 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Department of the Navy

Public Hearings for Draft Environmental Impact Statement on Realignment of Naval Air Station Miramar, California

Pursuant to Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508) implementing procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the Marine Corps has prepared and filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for realignment of Naval Air Station (NAS) Miramar, California.

As discussed in the notice published in the Federal Register on September 20, 1995, a public hearing to inform the public of the DEIS findings and to solicit comments will be held on October 18, 1995, beginning at 6 pm, in the Tierrasanta Elementary School Auditorium, located at 5450 La Quenta Drive, San Diego, California. A second public hearing will be held on October 26, 1995, beginning at 6 pm, in the auditorium in Building 603, located on the corner of Raven Road and Comet Way on NAS Miramar.

The public hearings will be conducted by the Marine Corps. Federal, state, and local agencies and interested parties are invited and urged to be present or represented at the hearings. Oral statements will be heard and transcribed by a stenographer; however, to assure accuracy of the record, all statements should be submitted in writing. All statements, both oral and written, will become part of the public record on this study. Equal weight will be given to both oral and written statements.

In the interest of available time, each speaker will be asked to limit their oral comments to five minutes. If longer statements are to be presented, they should be summarized at the public hearing and submitted in writing either at the hearings or mailed to the address listed at the end of this announcement. The comment period on the DEIS has been extended one week, all written statements must be postmarked by November 6, 1995, to become part of the official record.

The DEIS has been distributed to various Federal, State, and local agencies, elected officials, and civic associations and groups. A limited number of single copies are available at the address listed at the end of this notice.

In accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 and the specific base closure and

realignment decisions approved by the president and accepted by Congress in September 1995, the proposed action is the realignment or conversion of NAS Miramar to Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Miramar. The proposed action relocates aircraft and associated assets from MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro, which are closing, to NAS Miramar. Alternatives considered in the DEIS include: no action, relocation of aircraft and assets to other air stations that meet operational requirements, and relocation of aircraft and assets to NAS Miramar. Alternative configurations of facilities at NAS Miramar were also evaluated. The proposed action will have impacts on noise, endangered species, and air quality.

Additional information concerning this notice may be obtained by contacting LtCol George Martin or Mr. Bruce Shaffer, Base Closure and Realignment Office, Marine Corps Air Station El Toro, Santa Ana, CA 92709, telephone (714) 726-2338.

Dated: October 13, 1995.

By direction of the Commandant of the Marine Corps

Kim Weirick,

Assistant Head, Land Use and Military Construction Branch, Facilities and Services Division, Installations and Logistics Department.

[FR Doc. 95-25884 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

[Recommendation 95-2]

Safety Management

AGENCY: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

ACTION: Notice; recommendation.

SUMMARY: The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (Board) has made a recommendation to the Secretary of Energy pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 2286a(a)(5) concerning Safety Management. The Board requests public comments on this recommendation.

DATES: Comments, data, views or arguments concerning this recommendation are due on or before November 20, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send comments, data, views or arguments concerning this recommendation to: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20004.